Dear Cefic Members.

We would like to express our deep appreciation for the work of ICCA and the Responsible Care Leadership Group in developing this valuable guidance, which we are delighted to bring under the Cefic umbrella.

Process safety reporting is at the very heart of Responsible Care. It has been a proven practice in the chemical industry for decades. We strive continuously to improve our management procedures to reduce the occurrence of chemical incidents, and measuring is absolutely crucial to that process – for you cannot improve what you do not measure. Reporting enables companies and associations to share best practices and improve their performance while reducing their environmental footprint. Learning from incidents has been key to improving process safety to today’s low levels and we aim to bring them down further still.

Although the industry has long been tracking and reporting process safety performance at a regional level, this proposal for process safety event reporting will for the first time enable broad-based and global reporting of process safety performance, right across the chemical and petrochemical industries.

We invite all members of Cefic to implement this guidance by 2020, and are committed to helping them do so. The national chemical industry associations play a vital role in promoting best practice, and we look to them to roll out the guidance to their member companies.

It is our sincere hope that these guidelines will contribute to enhancing process and plant safety throughout Europe.

For your reference, the original and globally applicable guidance:

ICCA Process Safety Guidance_Final.doc

Patrick Vandenhoeke
Head of Responsible Care Leadership Group

William Garcia
Cefic, Executive Director HSSE, Energy & Climate Action
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**Background**

The Responsible Care® initiative began in 1985 and as the chemical industry’s commitment to continuous performance improvements. Process Safety has been a pillar of Responsible Care since its inception, and many regional approaches to tracking and reporting process safety performance have developed over the 30 years of Responsible Care implementation, yet no globally harmonized system had been put into place. In 2012, in the wake of several high profile process safety events, the International Council of Chemical Associations (ICCA) Board of Directors directed the Responsible Care Leadership Group (RCLG) to develop a recommendation for a globally harmonized process safety performance reporting by no later than 2015.

Throughout 2013 and 2014, a Taskforce comprised of multinational companies and RCLG associations, chaired by Dr. Peter Schmelzer, Head of Health, Safety and Environmental Protection Bayer HealthCare AG, worked to develop a globally harmonized ICCA approach to process safety performance reporting in two variants, UNDG based (following API 754) and GHS based (an update of the existing Cefic KPI guidance). A list of Taskforce members can be found in Appendix C. During the same timeframe, the American Petroleum Institute (API) also initiated a process to update its Recommend Practice 754 (RP-754) – Leading and Lagging Process Safety Metric Standard. RP-754 is broadly used in the refining and petrochemical sector and in the Americas region to track process safety performance. To optimize global adoption of a unified standard, the RCLG Taskforce strived to achieve full harmonization of its recommendation with the revised API RP 754 metric, finalized in the first quarter, 2016.

**Executive Summary**

After more than 2 years of discussion, research, debate and feasibility assessment, the Process Safety Harmonization Taskforce recommends that ICCA adopt a process safety event rate metric. The adoption of this metric would require each RCLG association to collect the number of process safety events experienced by their members on an annual basis, as well as total number of worker hours (employees and contractors) experienced by their members each year. The process safety event rate is the ratio of events to hours. The Taskforce also recommends that reporting of these data points to the RCLG be phased in over the next 3 years, allowing regions with the desire and ability to report immediately to do so, while also allowing additional time to companies and organizations that are developing the ability to track these data for the first time.

The criteria that determine whether a process-related event qualifies as a process safety event are based on a loss of primary containment of a chemical or a release of energy triggering thresholds any one of four impact areas: 1) safety/human health consequences; 2) direct cost due to damage from incident; 3) community impact; and 4) chemical release quantity (see the flow chart showing reporting triggers).
While the original version issued by ICCA allows regions to choose a local reporting basis, this version from Cefic refers to GHS (substance classification) instead of UNDG (UN dangerous goods classification) as well as Euros instead of Dollars.

The Taskforce believes that this proposal for process safety event reporting will enable broad-based global reporting of process safety performance across the chemical and petrochemical industries. It will provide a roadmap for regions, associations and companies that are currently not tracking process safety performance to recognize the benefits that tracking and reporting will bring. For regions, associations and companies that are already experienced in gathering process safety data, this recommendation allows for broader global alignment and focus on continuous improvement in process safety performance. The systems which are built to improve process safety performance are informed by robust process safety event data, and this is the major objective of this initiative.
Data to be reported by RCLG Associations to RCLG

On an annual basis, RCLG Associations will be asked to report two data points into ICCA using the RCLG KPI Reporting Website on the schedule provided below.

1. Total Member Company Worker Hours for Association (employee and contractor)
2. Total Number of Process Safety Events

ICCA will use the above two data points to report process safety performance in the form of Process Safety Event Rate (PSER), normalized per 100 employees where an employee works 2,000 hours a year.

\[(\text{Total Events} / \text{Total Hours}) \times 200,000 = \text{PSER}\]

**Recommended Reporting Schedule**
The Taskforce recommends a phased-in approach for reporting process safety event rate. Such a schedule will allow more experienced companies and associations to report in the next several years, while allowing other companies and associations to begin reporting by 2019. RCLG Associations would be asked to report the two process safety event rate data points annually to the ICCA through the RCLG KPI metrics reporting system: [http://kpi.responsiblecare.eu](http://kpi.responsiblecare.eu) If you do not know your association’s username and password, please contact the RCLG.

The proposed schedule for process safety event rate reporting by RCLG associations is as follows:

- **April 2015**: RCLG considers Taskforce proposal
- **June 2015**: ICCA Board of Directors considers RCLG final recommendation
- **July 2015**: RCLG releases the first detailed reporting guidance document for associations and member companies
- **Feb, 2016 RCLG Reporting**: RCLG initiates process safety reporting pilot program through association volunteers with updated an enhanced guidance document; RCLG rolls out final guidance document in June, 2016
- **2017**: RCLG Associations begin collecting data from its membership
- **2018-2019 RCLG Reporting**: Optional process safety data reporting by RCLG Associations
- **2020 Reporting**: RCLG includes process safety as a **mandatory** metric in the KPI reporting program
See Appendix D – Detailed Schedule of Events

Overview of ICCA Process Safety Event Criteria as a Flow Chart

- **Start**
  - Was a chemical substance or a chemical process directly involved? → **No**

- **Yes**
  - Incident in production, distribution, storage, utilities, pilot plants within a company’s facility?
    - **No**
      - Release of material, fire, explosion or implosion from/at a chemical process unit? → **No**
    - **Yes**
      - Recordable injury or hospital admission of people on or off site? → **No**

- **Yes**
  - Damage ≥ €2,500 direct cost to company? → **No**

- **Yes**
  - Officially declared shelter in place or evacuation? Or Precautionary off site shelter in place or evacuation? → **No**

- **Yes**
  - ICCA chemical release thresholds exceeded? → **Yes**

- **No**
  - Does not meet the criteria for a Process Safety Event

**Yes: Reportable as a Process Safety Event**
Key Criteria for Reporting Process Safety Events to RCLG

Total Worker Hours
RCLG Associations should report the total number of employee hours worked for each member company in their association and the total number of contractor hours worked for each member company as a combined, single number. For the purposes of this guidance document, each association should refer to their local and regional definitions for employee and contractor. The goal for reporting total hours is to include all individuals who are involved with chemical manufacturing, except where those individuals are tasked with major construction projects such as large scale investments with specific, one-time project organizations created for design, engineering, and construction of new or significant expansion to existing process facilities. When reporting total worker hours, companies should report the same hours used for reporting personnel hours. This way, companies can have the same data set for occupational and process safety. Personnel hours should include those hours from all chemical manufacturing operations, not just from facilities where a process safety incident occurred.

Process Safety Event
For the purposes of this ICCA Reporting, a process safety event has occurred when:

A. When a chemical substance or a chemical process is directly involved; AND
B. The incident occurred in production, distribution, storage, utility, pilot plant within the site boundaries of company’s facility; AND
C. There was a release of material or energy (e.g. fire, explosion, implosion) from a process unit; AND
D. One or more of the following Reporting Thresholds have been met:
   1. Safety / Injury
      - Injury resulting in a Recordable, Lost Time Accident or Fatality; or Hospital admission of anyone on or off site; OR
   2. Direct Damage Cost
      - A fire, explosion or clean up necessary to avoid/remediate environmental damage resulting in a direct cost equal to or greater than €2,500 Euro; OR
   3. Shelter in Place / Evacuation
      - An officially declared shelter in place (on or off site); OR
      - An officially declared evacuation (on or off site); OR
      - A precautionary off site shelter in place or evacuation OR
   4. Threshold Release
      - The material released meets one of the GHS thresholds in Table 1. (measured in amount released during one hour)
Detailed Guidelines on Identifying a Process Safety Event

A. Chemical Involvement
When a chemical substance or chemical process is directly involved

A chemical or chemical process must have been directly involved in the event or incident. For this purpose, the term "process" is used broadly to include the equipment and technology needed for petrochemical production, including reactors, tanks, piping, boilers, cooling towers, refrigeration systems, etc. An incident with no direct chemical or process involvement, e.g., an office building fire, even if the office building is on a plant site, is not reportable.

B. Location
The incident occurred in production, distribution, storage, utility, pilot plant within the site boundaries of company’s facility

The incident occurs in production, distribution, storage (including active storage areas such as warehouses – see FAQ section), utilities or pilot plants of a facility reporting metrics under these definitions. This includes tank farms, ancillary support areas (e.g., boiler houses and waste water treatment plants) and distribution piping under control of the site. All reportable incidents occurring at a location will be reported by the company that is responsible for operating that location. This applies to incidents that may occur in contractor work areas as well as other incidents. At tolling operations and multi-party sites, the company that operates the unit where the incident initiated should record the incident and count it in their reporting.

C. Release of Material
There was a release of material or energy (e.g. fire, explosion, implosion) from a process unit

Release of Material – an unplanned or uncontrolled release of any material, including non-toxic and non-flammable materials (e.g. steam, hot water, nitrogen, compressed CO2 or compressed air), from a process that results in consequences that exceed one or more of the 4 Reporting Thresholds listed in this document.

A release to a flare or scrubber is still considered to be within the primary containment as long as the mitigation system (e.g. scrubber, flare) is operated under normal conditions without any release above the thresholds defined for normal operation. A release to a secondary containment (e.g. waste water treatment or dike) will qualify as a process safety event because the substance is leaving the primary process system.
D. Thresholds

One or more of the following Reporting Thresholds must be met for reportable process safety events.

1. Safety / Injury

Injury resulting in a Recordable, Lost Time Accident or Fatality; or Hospital admission of anyone on or off site;

Recordable injuries (Recordable injuries according to OSHA) are work-related injuries that result in any of the following: death, days away from work, restricted work or transfer to another job, medical treatment beyond first aid, loss of consciousness, or a significant injury diagnosed by a physician or other licensed health professional.

Lost time injuries and fatalities that occur as a result of process related loss of primary containment, fire, or explosion are those that fit into one of the following categories:

- Employee (Lost time and/or Fatality)
- Contractor and Subcontractor (Lost time and/or Fatality)
- Third Party (Injury/illness resulting in Hospital Admission or Fatality)

Hospital Admission – formal acceptance by a hospital or other inpatient health care facility of a patient who is to be provided with room, board, and medical service in an area of the hospital or facility where patients generally reside at least overnight. Treatment in the hospital emergency room or an overnight stay in the emergency room would not by itself qualify as a “hospital admission.”

Examples of injury or fatality cases that would be reportable include a burn injury resulting from steam released during cleaning; a physical injury from a cap blown off by pressure during a pressure test; or a chemical burn from a spill while taking a sample. Examples of injuries or fatality cases that would not be reportable include a fall from an elevated work station while performing maintenance; a burn from a fire in a laboratory or office building; or injuries from an excavation cave-in. None of these cases are directly due to the release of energy or material from the process.
2. Direct Damage Cost
A fire, explosion or clean up necessary to avoid/remediate environmental damage resulting in a direct cost equal to or greater than €2,500 Euros

Costs to be considered for this threshold should be those costs directly attributed to the fire and/or explosion, such as the replacement value of equipment lost, structures lost, cost of repairs, environmental cleanup (on and off site), emergency response and/or fines. Direct cost does not include indirect costs, such as business opportunity losses, loss of profits due to equipment outages, cost of obtaining or operating temporary facilities or cost of obtaining replacement products to meet customer demand (product losses).

3. Shelter in Place / Evacuation
An officially declared shelter in place or evacuation either on or off site OR a precautionary shelter in place or evacuation off site

For the purposes of this reporting, an officially declared shelter in place or evacuation, on or off site, OR a precautionary shelter in place or evacuation is declared off site would trigger this threshold

Officially Declared – A declaration by a recognized community official (e.g. fire, police, civil defense, emergency management) or delegate (e.g. Company official) authorized to order the community action (e.g. shelter-in-place, evacuation).

Precautionary Declaration - A precautionary public response is a measure taken from an abundance of caution and issued by a recognized community official or delegate whom has reasonably determined that such an evacuation or shelter in place was necessary to protect the public health and safety.

Shelter in Place – is the use of a structure and its indoor atmosphere to temporarily separate individuals from a hazardous outdoor atmosphere

Evacuation – the act or process of removing persons from a place for reasons of safety or protection

4. Threshold Release
An acute release that exceeds one of the GHS thresholds in Table 1.

Acute Release – A release of flammable, combustible, or toxic chemicals from the primary containment (i.e., vessel or pipe) greater than the chemical release threshold quantities is described for GHS Classification Table 1.
**Pressure Relief Device:** Acute Releases, defined above, include releases to a properly designed and operating pressure relief device if a quantity is released greater than or equal to the threshold quantities in Table 1 that results in one or more of the following four consequences:

1. Rainout;
2. Discharge to a potentially unsafe location;
3. An on-site shelter-in-place or on-site evacuation, excluding precautionary on-site shelter-Ain-place or on-site evacuation;
4. Public protective measures (e.g., road closure) including precautionary public protective measures.

Releases to a properly designed and operating pressure relief device (such as a flare, scrubber, etc.) do not have to be reported if they do not meet one of the four criteria above.

**1 Hour Rule**

For the purpose of the reporting under this metric, release thresholds are established for materials over a one-hour time frame. If the release amount of a material reaches or exceeds the reporting threshold in a 1-hour time period or less, it is reportable. Typically, acute releases occur in 1-hour or less. If the duration of the release cannot be determined, the duration should be assumed to be 1 hour.

**Primary Containment** – A tank, vessel, pipe, rail car or equipment intended to serve as the primary container or used for the transfer of the material. Primary containers may be designed with secondary containment systems to contain and control the release. Secondary containment systems include, but are not limited to, tank dikes, curbing around process equipment, drainage collection systems into segregated oily drain systems, the outer wall of double walled tanks, etc.

**Severity Table** Severity weighting can provide additional useful information about process safety events that may help drive performance improvement. **Appendix A** is the RCLG methodology for calculating a severity weight for process safety events. Using **Appendix A**, a severity weight for each process safety event may be calculated by summing the points associated with each consequence category. The ICCA strongly encourages the use of a severity weighting system as the use of such a system increases the ability to communicate performance and is an easier way for our stakeholders to understand process safety events. Also, the severity weight will not be comparable among other process safety metric reporting formats.
*Releases of None-hazardous materials (=no GHS code) are not reportable.*
Appendix A – Severity Table: Using A Severity Weighting System is Encouraged for All Associations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event Incident Categories</th>
<th>Severity Level</th>
<th>Safety/Human Health</th>
<th>Direct Cost from Fire or Explosion</th>
<th>Material Release Within 1-Hr Period</th>
<th>Community Impact</th>
<th>Environmental Impact [off-site]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Level 4</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Injury requiring treatment beyond first aid to an employee, contractor, or subcontractor. (Meets local regulations)</td>
<td>• Resulting in Direct Damage Cost of €2.5 K ≤ up to €25 K</td>
<td>• Release volume between 1x ≤ TQ &lt; 40x</td>
<td>• Officially declared shelter-in-place or officially declared evacuation (on or off site) • Precautionary off site shelter in place or evacuation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 point</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Days Away From Work injury to an employee, contractor, or subcontractor, or • Injury requiring treatment beyond first aid to a third party (Meets local regulations)</td>
<td>• Resulting in Direct Damage Cost of €25 K ≤ up to €250 K</td>
<td>• Release volume between 40x ≤ TQ &lt; 160x</td>
<td>• Officially declared shelter-in-place or officially declared evacuation (on or off site) • Precautionary off site shelter in place or evacuation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Level 3</td>
<td></td>
<td>• A fatality of an employee, contractor, or subcontractor, or • A hospital admission of a third party (Meets local regulations)</td>
<td>• Resulting in Direct Damage Cost of €250 K ≤ up to €25 MM</td>
<td>• Release volume between 160x ≤ TQ &lt; 640x</td>
<td>• Officially declared shelter-in-place or officially declared evacuation (on or off site) • Precautionary off site shelter in place or evacuation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9 points</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Multiple fatalities of employees, contractors, or subcontractors, or • multiple hospital admission of third parties, or • A fatality of a third party (Meets local regulations)</td>
<td>• Resulting in Direct Damage Costs of ≥ €25 MM</td>
<td>• Release volume ≥ 640x TQ</td>
<td>• Officially declared shelter-in-place or officially declared evacuation (on or off site) • Precautionary off site shelter in place or evacuation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Level 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Multiple fatalities of employees, contractors, or subcontractors, or • multiple hospital admission of third parties, or • A fatality of a third party (Meets local regulations)</td>
<td>• Resulting in Direct Damage Costs of ≥ €25 MM</td>
<td>• Release volume ≥ 640x TQ</td>
<td>• Officially declared shelter-in-place or officially declared evacuation (on or off site) • Precautionary off site shelter in place or evacuation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(K = 1,000 MM = 1,000,000) (For Environmental Impact, companies and associations should agree on input criteria where definitions aren’t readily available)
Severity Table (Appendix A) Details

Acute Environmental Cost - Cost of short-term cleanup and material disposal, associated with a PSE with off-site environmental impact. Companies may choose to assign severity to costs associated with off-site environmental impacts in a separate category.

Rate Adjusted Metrics
Utilizing the severity table described above, there are a variety of rate-based metrics which can be generated. These include:

Process Safety Total Incident Rate (PSTIR): \[ \frac{\text{Total event incidents} \times 200,000}{\text{Total Worker Hours}} \]

Process Safety Incident Severity Rate (PESR) (i.e., severity-weighted Process Safety incident rate formula):

\[ \text{PESR} = \frac{\text{Total severity score for all events incidents} \times 200,000}{\text{Total Worker Hours}} \]

In determining this rate, 1 point is assigned for each Level 4 incident attribute, 3 points for each Level 3 attribute, 9 points for each Level 2 attributes, and 27 points for each Level 1 attributes. Theoretically, a process safety event could be assigned a minimum of 1 point (i.e., the incident meets the attributes of a Level 4 incident in only one category) or a maximum of 135 points (i.e., the incident meets the attributes of a Level 1 incident in each of the five categories.)

PS Level “X**” incident rate:

\[ \frac{\text{Total Severity Level “X**” PS incidents} \times 200,000}{\text{Total employee, contractor & subcontractor work hours}} \]

Where X* can be the total count of Severity Level 4, 3, 2, or 1 incidents. The severity level of an incident is the maximum severity rating of the five consequence categories.

Normalization – In some associations and member companies, process safety events are normalized on a ‘per 100’ employee basis given that a one worker averages 2,000 per year. So, to normalize on a ‘per 100’ employee basis, events are multiplied by 200,000 worker hours. In other associations and member companies, events are normalized on a ‘per 500’ employee basis and events are multiplied by 1,000,000 worker hours. Associations and members should discuss this option and come to a conclusion together. The above formulas are set at a ‘per 100’ employee rate.

(K = 1,000  MM = 1,000,000) (For Environmental Impact, companies and associations should agree on input criteria where definitions aren’t readily available)
Appendix B – Frequently Asked Questions

Applicability

It is recommended that companies record and report Process Safety Events occurring at Company-owned or operated facilities, except as noted below:

1. PSEs that originated off Company property
2. Marine transport vessel incidents, except when the vessel is connected to the facility for the purposes of crude or product transfer
3. Truck and/or rail incidents, except when the truck or rail car is connected to the facility for the purposes of crude or product transfer
4. Routine emissions that are allowable under permit or regulation
5. Underground contamination that had no process safety consequences. Note: The exclusion does not apply if the release resulted in an aboveground reportable PSE, such as release of toxic vapors or pooling of flammable liquids
6. Office building incidents (e.g., office heating equipment explosions, fires, spills, releases, personnel injury or illness, etc.)
7. Personnel safety "slip/trip/fall" incidents that are not directly associated with evacuating from, or responding to a loss of containment incident
8. Loss of Primary Containment (LOPC) incidents from ancillary equipment not connected to the process (e.g., small sample containers)
9. Planned and controlled drainage of material to collection or drain system designed for such service (Note: Exclusion does not apply to an unintended and uncontrolled release of material from primary containment that flows to a collection or drain system)
10. Mechanical work being conducted outside of process units or in maintenance shops

Interpretations and Examples – Based on GHS Table 1

The following interpretations and examples have been prepared to help clarify areas of potential uncertainty in the evaluation of reportable Process Safety Incidents (PSE).

COMPANY PREMISES

1. A third-party truck loading a flammable product on Company Premises, experiences a leak and subsequent fire and property loss damages of €7,000 (direct costs). Although the truck is "Operated-by-Others", it is connected to the process. The incident would be a reportable PSE if property losses in direct costs were equal to or greater than €2,500 or some other PSE threshold was met or exceeded (e.g., a fatality).

2. Similar example as #1. The truck loaded with flammable product overturns in route out of the plant, resulting in a fire and loss of the truck. This would not be reported as a PSE since the truck is no longer connected to the plant.
LOSS OF CONTAINMENT

3. A faulty tank gauge results in the overfilling of a product tank containing “flammable liquids”. Approximately 700 kg of liquid overflows into the tank's diked area. This incident is a reportable PSE since it is an "acute" spill greater than 100 kgs, regardless of secondary containment.

4. A maintenance contractor opens a process valve and gets sprayed with sulfuric acid resulting in a severe burn and lost time injury. This would be a reportable PSE. It is an unintended event involving a material and a loss of containment. For fatalities and days away from work injuries and illnesses, there is no release threshold amount.

5. An operator opens a quality control sample point to collect a routine sample of product and receives a bad hand laceration requiring stitches due to a broken glass bottle and misses the next day of work. This is not a reportable PSE because it is not related to a loss of containment.

ACUTE RELEASES

6. While troubleshooting a higher-than-expected natural gas flow rate, operating personnel find a safety valve on the natural gas line that did not reseat properly and was relieving to the atmospheric vent stack through a knock-out drum. Upon further investigation, it is determined that a total of 100,000 kg of natural gas was relieved at a steady rate over a 6 months period. This is not a reportable PSE as the release rate (~10 kg per hour) is not “acute”, (i.e. does not exceed the 100 kg TQ for flammable vapors per 1 hour time period).

FLARES & EMISSION CONTROL DEVICES (e.g., scrubbers)

7. If a chemical is routed to a flare or emission control device (e.g., scrubber), it would not be classified as a PSE as long as that flare or control device operates as designed.

8. If a scrubber is overwhelmed by a flowrate greater than the design of the scrubber system and discharges a chemical in excess of the reporting threshold, it would be reported as a PSE as noted about in this document.

As a note, an upset emission from a permitted or regulated source – including pressure relieve devices - of a quantity greater than or equal to the threshold quantities in Table 1 in any one-hour period, that results in one or more of the following four consequences:

- rainout;
- discharge to a potentially unsafe location;
- an on-site shelter-in-place or on-site evacuation, excluding precautionary on-site shelter-in-place or on-site evacuation;
public protective measures (e.g., road closure) including precautionary public protective measures;

Should be reported as a PSE.

SAFETY RELIEF DEVICE / SYSTEM

9. There is a unit upset and the relief valve opens to an atmospheric vent which has been designed, resulting in a gas release to the atmosphere with no adverse consequences. This would not be a reportable PSE since vapors and gases released to atmosphere from safety valves, high-pressure rupture disks, and similar safety devices that are properly designed for that event per API Standard 521 or equivalent are excluded, as long as the release did not result in (1) a liquid carryover that created a reportable PSE related to the liquid (e.g., lost time incident, fatality, a fire or explosion that caused \( \text{€}2,500 \) or more of direct cost, liquid release or toxic aerosol release at or above threshold amounts, etc.), or (2) activation of a shelter-in-place response on or off-site, or (3) public protective measures be taken.

10. There is a unit upset and the relief valve fails to open, resulting in overpressure of the equipment and an "acute" release of flammable gas from a leaking flange. The amount released is above the 100 kg (within 1 hour) threshold. This is a reportable PSE. Releases from flanges are not excluded from PSE reporting.

DAYS AWAY FROM WORK INCIDENTS

11. An operator is walking, then slips and falls to the floor and suffers a lost time injury. The slip/fall is due to weather conditions, "chronic" oily floors and slippery shoes. This is not a reportable PSE. Personnel safety "slip/trip/fall" incidents that are not directly associated with evacuating from or responding to a loss of containment incident are specifically excluded from PSE reporting.

12. Same as above, except that the operator slipped and fell while responding to a small flammable liquid spill (e.g., less than 10 kg in 1 hour). This would be PSE reportable since the operator was responding to a loss of containment incident. A PSE is reportable if the loss of primary containment occurs on Company Premises and results in a lost time incident or fatality. For fatalities and lost time incidents, there is no release threshold amount.

13. Same as above, except that the operator slipped and fell several hours after the incident had concluded. This would not be PSE reportable. The terms "evacuating from" and "responding to" in the reporting exclusion mean that the loss of containment and associated emergency response activities are on-going. Slips/trip/falls after the event have concluded (such as "after-the-fact" clean-up and remediation) are excluded from PSE reporting.

14. A scaffold builder suffers a lost time injury after falling from a scaffold ladder while evacuating from a loss of containment incident on nearby equipment. This is a reportable PSE.
15. An operator walks past an improperly designed steam trap. The steam trap releases and the operator’s ankle is burned by the steam, resulting in a lost time injury. This is a reportable PSE because even though the loss of containment was steam (vs. hydrocarbon or chemical), the physical state of the material was such that it caused a lost time injury.

16. An enclosure has been intentionally purged with nitrogen. A contractor bypasses safety controls, enters the enclosure and dies. This is a reportable fatality, but not a reportable PSE since there was no unplanned or uncontrolled loss of primary containment.

17. Same as above, except that nitrogen inadvertently leaked into the enclosure. This would be a reportable PSE (and fatality) since there was a fatality associated with an unplanned loss of primary containment.

18. An operator responding to an H2S alarm collapses and has an injury. If the alarm was triggered by an actual unplanned or uncontrolled H2S LOPC, the event would be a reportable PSE. If the alarm was a false alarm, the event would not be a reportable PSE because there was no actual release.

**PIPNELINES**

19. A pipeline leaks and releases 2000 lbs (900 kgs) of flammable vapor above ground within 1 hour. However, the release occurred in a remote location within the site. The release is PSE reportable, since "remoteness" is not a consideration.

**FIRES or ENERGY RELEASES NOT ASSOCIATED WITH CHEMICAL RELEASE**

As a general rule, a fire or energy release is reported as a PSE only if caused by chemical release or results in a chemical release in excess of the reporting quantities. Examples include:

20. An electrical fire impacts the operation of the process resulting in the release of 400 kg of toluene. This event would be reported as a PSE since if the chemical release exceeds the 100 kg reporting threshold for toluene.

21. An electrical fire, loss of electricity, or any other loss of utility occurs which may cause a plant shutdown and possibly incidental equipment damage greater than €2,500 (e.g., damage to reactors or equipment due to inadequate shutdown) but does not create a chemical release greater than the threshold quantity, or cause a fatality or serious injury. This event would not be reported as a PSE since the equipment damage was not caused by a chemical process fire/explosion and there was not a chemical release greater than the threshold quantity.

**MARINE TRANSPORT VESSELS**
22. A company operated Marine Transport Vessel has an onboard "acute" spill of combustible material greater than 100 kg. The event is not PSE reportable since Marine Transport Vessel incidents are specifically excluded, except when the vessel is connected to the refinery, petrochemical, or chemical manufacturing facility for the purposes of crude or product transfer.

23. A third-party barge is being pushed by a tug and hits the company dock. A barge compartment is breached and releases 1,000 kg of diesel to the water. The event is not a reportable PSE since the marine vessel was not berthed at the dock and actively involved in crude or product transfer operations.

**TRUCK AND RAIL**

24. A company railcar derails and spills more than 1,000 kg of gasoline while in transit. The incident is not PSE reportable since rail incidents off company property are specifically excluded.

25. A third-party truck/trailer overturns while in the Company Premises, resulting in an "acute" spill of gasoline greater than 1,000 kg. The incident is not reported as a PSE reportable if the truck is no longer connected to the loading/unloading facilities. However, it is recommended that companies also have transportation incident metrics, which would capture this event.

26. A contract truck hauler is unloading caustic and the hose separates and generates an airborne aerosol and/or liquid caustic spill of 2500 kg. The event is a reportable PSE since the caustic TQ of 100 kg was exceeded and the truck was still connected to the loading/unloading facility immediately prior to the incident.

**OFFICE BUILDING**

27. There is a boiler fire at the Main Office complex, and direct cost damages totaled €75,000. The incident is not PSE reportable since Office Building incidents are specifically **excluded**.

**MAN-MACHINE INTERFACE INCIDENTS**

28. An operations technician is injured while working around the finishing equipment in a polymers plant. The injury is caused by the mechanical, man-machine interface with the equipment. This would not be a reportable Process Safety Event because there was no loss of containment of hazardous material.

**MIXTURES**

29. If a mixture by itself has a GHS classification you use that classification to determine the threshold. If the mixture itself does not have a GHS-classification, we go back to the individual components of the mixture and based on kg released per threshold group calculate a ‘weighted’ contribution to the thresholds. **Example:** a release of 100 kg of a mixture in a one hour period that consists of 0.5 kg of a
substance classified as acute toxic H300, 4.5 kg of substance classified with H301 and 40 kg of a substance with another H-number and the remaining 55 kg of water with no GHS classification would be calculated as $0.5/1 + 4.5/10 + 40/100 = 0.5 + 0.45 + 0.4 = 1.35$ is exceeding 1 and would be counted as a reportable process safety incident even though none of the individual hazardous components exceeded the threshold for the individual substance.

**DIRECT DAMAGE COSTS**

30. Direct damage costs are considered for this threshold should be those costs directly attributed to the fire and/or explosion, such as the replacement value of equipment lost, structures lost, cost of repairs, environmental cleanup, emergency response and/or fines. Direct cost **does not include** indirect costs, such as business opportunity losses, loss of profits due to equipment outages, cost of obtaining or operating temporary facilities or cost of obtaining replacement products to meet customer demand (**product losses**).

31. As an example, a leak of flammable gas occurred in a vent line connected to a gas treatment system. At the same time, a failure in the process allowed air to enter in the same vent line. An explosion occurred and the over pressure damaged the vent line and associated upstream equipment including a seal pot. Nobody was hurt. The cost for repair was about €12,000. This is a PSE considering the cost to repair the equipment due to the explosion and fire.

32. As an example, after a PSE event, a company releases 50 kg of a very special, GHS Category 5 product which causes €2,000 of damage to equipment. The product released was a very special blend of materials and the company incurs over €50,000 in materials associated with the product loss. This event is not reportable as PSE since the reportable threshold for GHS Category 5 releases is 100 kgs and product losses do not count as Direct Damage Costs.

**NATURAL DISASTERS**

33. Natural disasters can present substantial risks to chemical process operations and damage to plant equipment which may result in a loss of containment of hazardous substances. Where the potential for one or more types of natural disasters is deemed relevant to plant operations, process safety and operational systems shall address the applicable risk in terms of hazards and consequence analysis, plant design, operating procedures for loss of utilities and process shutdown, and emergency planning and response.

Types of natural disasters includes hurricanes and typhoons (including associated storm surge), floods, tornadoes, earthquakes, derechos (straight line wind storms), wildfires, volcanic eruptions, tsunamis, and avalanches.

If your facility experiences a natural disaster that triggers one or more of the thresholds, it should be reported as a process safety event.
PRECAUTIONARY PUBLIC RESPONSE

34. A precautionary public response is a measure taken from an abundance of caution. **For example**, a company may require all workers to shelter-in-place in response to an LOPC independent of or prior to any assessment (e.g., wind direction, distance from the LOPC, etc.) of the potential hazard to those workers. **For example**, a recognized community official (e.g., fire, police, civil defense, emergency management) may order a community shelter-in-place, evacuation, or public protective measure (e.g., road closure) in the absence of information from a company experiencing a process safety event, or ‘just in case’ the wind direction changes, or due to the sensitive nature of the potentially affected population (e.g., school children, the elderly).

ACTIVE WAREHOUSE

35. An active warehouse is an on-site warehouse that stores raw materials, intermediates, or finished products used or produced by a process. From a process perspective, an active warehouse is equivalent to a bulk storage tank. Rather than being stored in a single large container, the raw materials, intermediates, or finished products are stored in smaller containers (e.g., totes, barrels, pails, etc.).

Appendix C – List of RCLG Taskforce Members

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Affiliation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peter Schmelzer</td>
<td>Bayer, CEFIC - Chairman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Americo Diniz Carvalho Neto</td>
<td>Braskem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradford Johnson</td>
<td>American Chemistry Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Cummings</td>
<td>DuPont</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debra Phillips</td>
<td>American Chemistry Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hans Schwarz</td>
<td>BASF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kathryn Walton</td>
<td>Plastics and Chemicals Industries Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kazuyuki Akita</td>
<td>Japanese Chemical Industry Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kelly Keim</td>
<td>ExxonMobil Chemical Company, API Representative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenan Stevick</td>
<td>Dow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiyokazu Murata</td>
<td>Japanese Chemical Industry Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larry Bowler</td>
<td>SABIC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lorna Young</td>
<td>Chemical Industry Association of Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisa Nara</td>
<td>Center for Chemical Process Safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luiz Shizuo Harayashiki</td>
<td>Associação Brasileira da Indústria Química</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masatoshi Kumamoto</td>
<td>Japanese Chemical Industry Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milton Lacerda</td>
<td>Petrobras</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phil Scott</td>
<td>Chemical Industries Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piet Knijff</td>
<td>DSM, European Process Safety Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott Donaldson and Eamon Chandler</td>
<td>Shell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott Wallace</td>
<td>Olin Corporation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shakeel Kadri</td>
<td>Air Products and Chemicals, Inc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix D – Detailed Schedule of Events

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Feb - April</strong></td>
<td><strong>Jan - Dec</strong></td>
<td><strong>Jan – Feb</strong></td>
<td><strong>Jan – Feb</strong></td>
<td><strong>Jan – Feb</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCLG PS Pilot Program</td>
<td>RCLG Association membership begin collecting PS data from their members</td>
<td>Reporting of process safety incidents to RCLG begins for associations with data (2017 data)</td>
<td>Reporting of process safety incidents to RCLG begins for associations with data (2018 data)</td>
<td>Reporting of process safety incidents to RCLG begins for all associations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>May</strong></td>
<td><strong>Jan – Dec</strong></td>
<td><strong>April</strong></td>
<td><strong>April</strong></td>
<td><strong>April</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustments/clarification to guidance document</td>
<td>Associations hold workshops on Process Safety and performance reporting, utilizing RCLG funds and experts if needed</td>
<td>RCLG reviews outcomes, challenges and raw data from the first reporting cycle (internally)</td>
<td>RCLG reviews outcomes, challenges and raw data from the second reporting cycle (internally)</td>
<td>RCLG reviews outcomes, challenges and raw data from the second reporting cycle (internally, consider external reporting)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>June</strong></td>
<td><strong>June</strong></td>
<td><strong>July - December</strong></td>
<td><strong>July - December</strong></td>
<td><strong>June</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report outcomes of pilot program to RCLG and ICCA Board</td>
<td>RCLG share draft data with ICCA Board</td>
<td>Update and adjust guidance as necessary</td>
<td>Update and adjust guidance as necessary</td>
<td>RCLG share draft data with ICCA Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>July - December</strong></td>
<td><strong>July - December</strong></td>
<td><strong>July - December</strong></td>
<td><strong>July - December</strong></td>
<td><strong>June</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• RCLG associations consider guidance and develop reporting methodology for their memberships</td>
<td>Associations hold workshops on Process Safety and performance reporting, utilizing RCLG funds and experts if needed</td>
<td>Associations hold workshops on Process Safety and performance reporting, utilizing RCLG funds and experts if needed</td>
<td>Associations hold workshops on Process Safety and performance reporting, utilizing RCLG funds and experts if needed</td>
<td>RCLG share draft data with ICCA Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• RCLG associations can request ICCA capacity building funds for PS reporting workshops for members, if needed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Jan – Dec</strong></td>
<td><strong>June</strong></td>
<td><strong>April</strong></td>
<td><strong>April</strong></td>
<td><strong>June</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCLG Association membership begin collecting PS data from their members</td>
<td>RCLG share draft data with ICCA Board</td>
<td>RCLG reviews outcomes, challenges and raw data from the first reporting cycle (internally)</td>
<td>RCLG reviews outcomes, challenges and raw data from the second reporting cycle (internally)</td>
<td>RCLG share draft data with ICCA Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Jan – Dec</strong></td>
<td><strong>July - December</strong></td>
<td><strong>July - December</strong></td>
<td><strong>July - December</strong></td>
<td><strong>June</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associations hold workshops on Process Safety and performance reporting, utilizing RCLG funds and experts if needed</td>
<td>Update and adjust guidance as necessary</td>
<td>Update and adjust guidance as necessary</td>
<td>Update and adjust guidance as necessary</td>
<td>RCLG share draft data with ICCA Board</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*Process Safety incident reporting becomes part of the recommended base set of RCLG KPI metrics (2019 data)*
Appendix E – Optional Excel Reporting Form

When member companies begin tracking process safety events, a single form should be utilized for all member companies to make data analysis easier and to allow all members to work from the same tracking sheet. The RCLG has developed an optional tracking sheet that members and associations can start with when developing their own tracking sheet.

DOWNLOAD TRACKING SHEET HERE:

Worksheet in ICCA
Process Safety Guidance - Cefic FINAL.xlsx

This document consists of a contact page, the survey itself, and a ‘do not edit’ page where the drop down menu answer are stored.
Cefic, the European Chemical Industry Council, founded in 1972, is the voice of 29,000 large, medium and small chemical companies in Europe, which provide 1.2 million jobs and account for 14.77% of world chemicals production.

Cefic members form one of the most active networks of the business community, complemented by partnerships with industry associations representing various sectors in the value chain. A full list of members is available on the Cefic website: www.cefic.org/About-us/Our-members

Cefic is an active member of the International Council of Chemical Associations (ICCA), which represents chemical manufacturers and producers all over the world and seeks to strengthen existing cooperation with global organisations such as UNEP and the OECD to improve chemicals management worldwide.